

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A1	Burton & Winkton	a- the parish is a beautiful place and the village still has a great community feel about it and I don't see why anyone would want to change that . It's a beautiful place to live . I wouldn't want to have it merged into Christchurch it would lose the appeal.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A2	Burton & Winkton	A) I believe abolishing the Burton and Winkton parish would inevitably change the "feel" of the village B) Maintaining the current boundary would help to retain the "feel" of the village	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A3	Burton & Winkton	Agree with the draft recommendations. The parish council does a good job, change is not needed. This would be change for the sake of change and would not lead to anything better.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A4	Burton & Winkton	D) Retaining our parish council is essential to preserving local democracy, community representation, and effective decision-making on issues that directly affect our residents. The parish council serves as the closest level of government to the community, offering a vital platform for residents to voice concerns, influence local priorities, and shape the future of our area. Without it, we risk losing a trusted body that understands the unique needs of our parish and works tirelessly to maintain services, protect local assets, and strengthen community cohesion.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A5	Burton & Winkton	I agree with the draft recommendations for Burton and Winkton. I don't believe the parish of Burton and Winkton should be demolished and no change should be made to the boundary of the existing parish. Having lived in Burton for the last 2 years I am happy with the councillors which are elected for Burton and Winkton.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A6	Burton & Winkton	I sm happy that Burton & Winkton continues to operate as it is.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A7	Burton & Winkton	I value our local focus and the efforts of our Parish Council to respond to local issues. It could be more effective but finding reliable and forward thinking councillors is not easy.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A8	Burton & Winkton	It works as it is.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A9	Burton & Winkton	Long running parish council with a successful record	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A10	Burton & Winkton	We need complete local representation our needs will be different from say Muddeford	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A11	Burton & Winkton	<p>There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept.</p> <p>Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.</p>		Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A12	Burton & Winkton	(a) - (e) As this parish is separated geographically from the main Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch conurbation, it seems important that the parish council continues to provide support to the local electorate. In relation to (f), 10 councillors seems to be disproportionate to the population of the parish. In general, if local government overheads were reduced then more funds could be available to improve declining public services in the BCP area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
A13	Burton & Winkton	a b c d e f Because I am a,free thinking human.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
A14	Burton & Winkton	a to f. Don't try to fix something that is not broken	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
A15	Burton & Winkton	a,b,c The Parish should should be retained as it is if that is what the residents want - council should continue as it is if the residents want this, but there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A16	Burton & Winkton	Additional costs should be avoided	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
A17	Burton & Winkton	Bi am born and raused in Christchurch and it is just going downhill as an area especially since we were forced to join the bcp council. Even though the vast majority of Christchurch voted against it it was made to happen by the conservative party to use Christchurchs money to pay off Bournemouth s dept and now we are in the bcp council are facing bankruptcy due to the poir decisions made by the council. And seein Christchurchs assetts being sold off by bcp even though they shouldn't have the right to do so as it really shouldn't be their choice.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
A18	Burton & Winkton	Burton and Winkton is clearly a sepatate semi-rural community within BCP and should be allowed to continue with their own parish council.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
A19	Burton & Winkton	e i agree because it has a council in it	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A20	Burton & Winkton	F, 10 councillors are not necessary, 6 should suffice	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
A21	Burton & Winkton	I am much in favour of local Parish Councils.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
A22	Burton & Winkton	I used to go to school in that area and do not see any benefits to change	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
A23	Burton & Winkton	If button was merged with Stanpit/Mudeford it would lose its identity and sense of community	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 3

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A24	Burton & Winkton	important to have truly local representation when we have such a large BCP council area	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
A25	Burton & Winkton	Just another expense for residents will create more confusion with the increased number of councillors	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 3
A26	Burton & Winkton	Keep it as it is. You have so many other things to sort out in bcp but you are wasting time and effort on parish councils. We need to stop wasting money including this consultation when other things so much more important.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
A27	Burton & Winkton	leave thing alone or mess them up	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A28	Burton & Winkton	No case has been made for any alterations to be made. Burton and Winkton have a strong historical and local identity and nothing should be done to harm these.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
A29	Burton & Winkton	No compelling evidence to the contrary. Regarding submission 52 in the initial consultation, I agree re the importance of community councils - but can't see the benefit of amalgamating Burton & Grange Ward with Mudeford & W Highcliffe; the condition of All Saints' Church, Mudeford (rightly lamented by the respondent) would have little relevance, I suspect, to most Burton & Grange residents.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
A30	Burton & Winkton	Parish Councils are an unnecessary extra level of bureaucracy costing additional precepts to local people when the parish council does not have skilled expertise to carry out duties that should be carried out by competent and trained staff. The environment is a good example. E.g. A parish council could never afford to have skilled expertise in environmental matters - something which is a core responsibility of the Unitary Authority.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
A31	Burton & Winkton	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A32	Burton & Winkton	That's what I think is right. Nothing	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
A33	Burton & Winkton	There is no reason to change this historic council that appears to work well for its residents	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
A34	Burton & Winkton	<p>This is an established Parish Council , presumably , so it makes no sense to change that</p> <p>There is enough change taking place in local governance without further unnecessary upheaval and cost</p> <p>Additionally , if other respondents could not articulate any good reason for change</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
A35	Burton & Winkton	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A36	Burton & Winkton	Why change for change's sake??	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
A37	Burton & Winkton	a and f I do not think we should have parish councils. Waste of money.	Disagree	Highcliffe & Walkford	respondent living outside proposal area	Highcliffe & Walkford	BH23 5
A38	Burton & Winkton	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
A39	Burton & Winkton	a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A40	Burton & Winkton	a) I think it unnecessary having the parish but it is not really for me to say if the residents want a parish then is up to them.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
A41	Burton & Winkton	A) The parish councils don't have any function or use, they are primarily used by career politicians as a stepping stone to become councillors. Powers are limited and constrained, thus they are unable to function in any decent capacity for the community.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
A42	Burton & Winkton	a) to f) (all) I strongly disagree with the need for parish councils when we already have an amalgamated Council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
A43	Burton & Winkton	a, d I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit. Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets. Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A44	Burton & Winkton	'a', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
A45	Burton & Winkton	a,b,c,d,e,f :- The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid. We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
A46	Burton & Winkton	a,b,c,d,e,f We Have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
A47	Burton & Winkton	a/b/c There should be No parish council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A48	Burton & Winkton	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
A49	Burton & Winkton	All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
A50	Burton & Winkton	BCP have more than enough people to not require any further parish employees to run what is a rather small town council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A51	Burton & Winkton	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
A52	Burton & Winkton	f) 10 councillors for such a small area and low resident is a nonsense that should be challenged. Save the administrative costs and re-invest properly.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
A53	Burton & Winkton	F) I do not want to see additional taxes being imposed.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
A54	Burton & Winkton	Have not read anything to suggest benefit of parish councils of any kind in current financial environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A55	Burton & Winkton	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
A56	Burton & Winkton	I disagree with the continuation of current parish councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
A57	Burton & Winkton	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
A58	Burton & Winkton	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A59	Burton & Winkton	I do not agree that we should have any town/parish councils. If BCP it too large, revert to 3 separate councils agin.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
A60	Burton & Winkton	I do not think that Parish Councils are necessary and just add another level of beaurocracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
A61	Burton & Winkton	I do not think that the constituents can afford to pay more than they already are for council tax. In the current economy people are already struggling to pay their overheads let alone fork out more, for what seems to be the same service they already receive, with no monetary cap on what can be charged. It's a terrible idea.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
A62	Burton & Winkton	I feel that town/parish councils are a complete waste of time,and are just another 'Talking Shop'adding to the excessive bureaucracy that we already have.What is wrong with the 'Area Forum'structure that we have as a means of consulting residents.We pay our council tax t B.C.P. and they should provide the services and not hive them off to other bodies.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A63	Burton & Winkton	In my view this parish council should be abolished. It is a superfluous layer of local government. By the way the "Strongly disagree" option was not visible on the web page. Was this deliberate? BCP have a poor record when it comes to listening to local people. They are more concerned for from out of town and the illegal immigrants who are turning Bournemouth town centre into a no-go zone. They class these antisocial people as "the most vulnerable in our society".	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
A64	Burton & Winkton	Just an unnecessary extra cost to overpriced council bills.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
A65	Burton & Winkton	One councillor per 300 residents seems excessive	Disagree	Highcliffe & Walkford	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
A66	Burton & Winkton	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A67	Burton & Winkton	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
A68	Burton & Winkton	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
A69	Burton & Winkton	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
A70	Burton & Winkton	Parish councils represent needless and unnecessary bureaucracy.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A71	Burton & Winkton	Ratio of electors to councillors too low and therefore undemocratic. Unnecessary layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
A72	Burton & Winkton	Re a and d - the parish council should be abolished. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not maintaining it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
A73	Burton & Winkton	Re: paragraph f. There should not be a parish council. All functions and services should be deliver by BCP Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
A74	Burton & Winkton	See comments under Broadstone.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A75	Burton & Winkton	Should be part of an Independent Christchurch Council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
A76	Burton & Winkton	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
A77	Burton & Winkton	The cost and the duplication of red tape	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH10 7
A78	Burton & Winkton	The creation of parish councils will be damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation and corruption, preventing the change the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A79	Burton & Winkton	There is already Councillors at BCP so no need for more.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
A80	Burton & Winkton	This just adds another layer of burden of expenditure	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
A81	Burton & Winkton	Too many councillors already.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
A82	Burton & Winkton	Uncontested elections are deeply undemocratic. It might be more democratic for BCP Council to assume this parish council's functions and for the BCP Council councillors for the ward to represent their residents through BCP Council and its processes.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A83	Burton & Winkton	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
A84	Burton & Winkton	We don't need Parish Councils	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
A85	Burton & Winkton	We don't need so many different levels all costing more money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
A86	Burton & Winkton	What was the point of merging into BCP council if you are now looking to have Parish councils with more cost, expense and non accountability to the electorate. You should have never merged the three councils and if you put that to the local vote you know you would have lost because the people would have spoken and democracy would prevail. This is just another instance of top heavy beurocracy with elected officials hiding behind another layer of people. What a waste...	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A87	Burton & Winkton	a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayerr not create additional layers of local authority	Don't know/not sure	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
A88	Burton & Winkton	Don't know how much value is added to the community by Parish councils	Don't know/not sure	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
A89	Burton & Winkton	I am not a resident there so they should decide	Don't know/not sure	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
A90	Burton & Winkton	A. I am puzzled by the variance in the elector ratios, which seem disproportionate and expensive.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A91	Burton & Winkton	f, A ratio of 338:1, residents to councillors is wasteful. How does this compare to more populous regions? Less councillors, better efficiency	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
A92	Burton & Winkton	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
A93	Burton & Winkton	My experience from central government tells me that the more tiers of governance there are, the less money is available at the service delivery end. I fear the drivers for maintaining the status quo in this area are to keep money and power in the hands of a few. I do not object to this per se, but when it stops vital work being done it is wrong. By its very nature, only the educated few will comment on this. It is not inclusive. I am sure good work is being done, but more could be achieved by a re-focussing of effort	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
A94	Burton & Winkton	Seems to be no change	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q8 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A95	Burton & Winkton	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A96	Burton & Winkton	<p>1. (a–c) Support for continuity with a vision for the future While retaining the existing parish structure, name, and boundaries provides welcome stability, the proposal could be strengthened by including a commitment to future community engagement initiatives, such as local planning input, support for sustainability projects, and youth engagement strategies.</p> <p>2. (d) Enhanced role of the parish council The proposal to continue the parish council is essential, but it could go further by outlining an ambition for the council to take on more proactive responsibilities—such as acting as a stronger voice in regional planning matters, supporting local economic development, or improving community resilience.</p> <p>3. (e) Preservation with purpose Retaining the name of the parish council maintains historical identity, but the proposal could also include a plan to promote local heritage and community identity more actively—through events, partnerships, or branding that celebrates Burton and Winkton’s distinct character.</p> <p>4. (f) Ensuring effective and inclusive representation Keeping the number of councillors at 10 is sensible, but the effectiveness of representation could be enhanced by committing to regular reviews of councillor diversity and engagement, encouraging wider participation from all community groups, and considering youth advisory roles or co-opted positions to broaden perspectives.</p>	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A97	Burton & Winkton	Do not change anything but work hard to ensure citizens needs are met	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A98	Burton & Winkton	i believe there would be benefit to have the boundaries coterminous with Burton and Grange Ward,or to have a councillor specific to Burton and Winkton	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A99	Burton & Winkton	I don't see any reason to change anything . The reason we live here is because it still has a great village feel even though we're close to town .	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A100	Burton & Winkton	I support the proposals wholeheartedly.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A101	Burton & Winkton	The existing arrangements are fine - there is the feeling that more rural areas like ours will be seen as easy pickings for development and this must be resisted to keep Burton as a separate small village type community,	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living in proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
A102	Burton & Winkton	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.		Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
A103	Burton & Winkton	I fully endorse all of the draft recommendations	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
A104	Burton & Winkton	It doesn't need altering, it works as it it	Agree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Bransgore, Burley, Sopley & Ringwood East	BH23 8
A105	Burton & Winkton	No	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A106	Burton & Winkton	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
A107	Burton & Winkton	No	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
A108	Burton & Winkton	No.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
A109	Burton & Winkton	Scrap all Parish councils in favour of properly funded unitary authority which has the finance to employ skilled staff.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
A110	Burton & Winkton	stop all the changes it cost money for no effect	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
A111	Burton & Winkton	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A112	Burton & Winkton	Abolish it	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
A113	Burton & Winkton	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
A114	Burton & Winkton	Believe parish councils should be scrapped, simply another layer of cost and bureaucracy which be served better by centralised service. Also for this local parish representation to head of population is disproportionate to size and other BCP areas	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
A115	Burton & Winkton	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
A116	Burton & Winkton	Don't do it	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
A117	Burton & Winkton	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A118	Burton & Winkton	I disagree with the continuation of current parish councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
A119	Burton & Winkton	I think 10 Parish Councillors is way over the top . The cost in time and money would be too much.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH10 7
A120	Burton & Winkton	Is it just me but why does the boundary look like a face.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
A121	Burton & Winkton	It should have zero cllrs	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
A122	Burton & Winkton	It's part of a BCP electoral ward. There is no need for a parish council. I do not have a Penn Hill council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A123	Burton & Winkton	Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year , totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
A124	Burton & Winkton	less bureaucracy more action from those already elected	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
A125	Burton & Winkton	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
A126	Burton & Winkton	No	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
A127	Burton & Winkton	People are trying to ignore the elected system & insert yet another level in the hope it'll reflect their own politics	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A128	Burton & Winkton	Remove the people responsible for all this work which is not protuctive.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
A129	Burton & Winkton	Scrap it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
A130	Burton & Winkton	Show the true costs of this pointless exercise and let the people decide.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
A131	Burton & Winkton	Stop wasting money!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
A132	Burton & Winkton	<p>The boundaries of “Poole” council are clear – however the town will be disadvantaged and overwhelmed, if the whole of the town is 1x parish – when the town of Bournemouth is “represented” as 4x separate parishes. Resources for “Poole” (as well as Christchurch) will instead be routed (diverted/stolen) for projects elsewhere.</p> <p>The number of parishes need to be equivalent across the “Three Towns”, based on population, rate-payers, and geography –the cultural and historic value across the towns should be valued for this as well.</p> <p>The Parish boundaries need to be reviewed and corrected, for equal representation for everyone.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
A133	Burton & Winkton	The creation of parish councils will be damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation and corruption, preventing the change the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A134	Burton & Winkton	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
A135	Burton & Winkton	We do not need a parish council as well as BCP. It will be costly as well as unnecessary and certain matters will get missed between the two.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
A136	Burton & Winkton	We don't need Parish Councils	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
A137	Burton & Winkton	We either need a parish council or BCP. We do not need both.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
A138	Burton & Winkton	What I have said above.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
A139	Burton & Winkton	Whichever changes are introduced should cost the residents less council tax or no extra council tax as the council tax is seriously over-exaggerated as it is	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Q9 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Burton & Winkton?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
A140	Burton & Winkton	Why the 2 tier system with double the admin costs???	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
A141	Burton & Winkton	Disagree with Parish Councils operating in BCP area	Don't know/not sure	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
A142	Burton & Winkton	No	Don't know/not sure	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
A143	Burton & Winkton	Electoral Services have no comments or issues with the proposals in Burton & Winkton.	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4